

REMARKS:

A provisional election was previously made with traverse to prosecute claims 21-27 and 33-36. Claims 37-42 have been withdrawn. Applicant affirms the election to prosecute claims 21-27 and 33-36 herein.

Claims 21-26 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Katz et al. Claim 27 has been objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim but would be allowable if rewritten in specific independent form. Claims 33-36 have been allowed.

In view of the Examiner's findings, applicant has now modified claims 21-27. Specifically, applicant has inserted language describing the method of using a monitor flap which is bi-foldable over the base in independent claim 21. Claims 22-27 have been amended to correspond with the amendments made to the respective claims from which each depends. Applicant has also modified previously allowed claims 33-36 for consistency.

It is believed that applicant's method claims as now presented differentiates over the prior art of record. Specifically, the patent of Katz et al was considered under §103. Applicant now believes that all remaining claims are now in condition for allowance and such allowance is solicited at the Examiner's earliest convenience.

Respectfully submitted,

By:



Walter L. Beavers

Attorney For Applicant

Registration No. 26,704

326 South Eugene Street

Greensboro, North Carolina 27401

Telephone: (336) 275-7601

Facsimile: (336) 275-2009

E-mail: wbeavers@bellsouth.net

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Mail Stop Amendment, Group Art Unit 3644, Attention: Examiner Jordan M. Lofdahl (16 pages including cover letter) to Fax No. (703)872-9306 on this 28th day of January, 2005.



Walter L. Beavers